Management of the temporomandibular joint in inflammatory arthritis: Involvement of surgical procedures

Rory C O'Connor¹, Fiona Fawthrop², Rami Salha³, Andrew J Sidebottom⁴

Abstract

Many conditions may affect the temporomandibular joint (TMJ), but its incidence in individual joint diseases is low. However, inflammatory arthropathies, particularly rheumatoid and psoriatic arthritis and ankylosing spondylitis, appear to have a propensity for affecting the joint. Symptoms include pain, restriction in mouth opening, locking, and noises, which together can lead to significant impairment. Jaw rest, a soft diet, a bite splint, and medical therapy, including disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) and simple analgesia, are the bedrock of initial treatment and will improve most symptoms in most patients. Symptom deterioration does not necessarily follow disease progression, but when it does, TMJ arthroscopy and arthrocentesis can help modulate pain, increase mouth opening, and relieve locking. These minimally invasive procedures have few complications and can be repeated. Operations to repair or remove a damaged intra-articular disc or to refine joint anatomy are used in select cases. Total TMJ replacement is reserved for patients where joint collapse or fusion has occurred or in whom other treatments have failed to provide adequate symptomatic control. It yields excellent outcomes and is approved by the National Institute of Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK. Knowledge of the assessment and treatment of the TMJ, which differs from other joints affected by inflammatory arthritis due to its unique anatomy and function, is not widespread outside of the field of oral and maxillofacial surgery. The aim of this article is to highlight the peculiarities of TMJ disease secondary to rheumatoid arthritis, psoriatic arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis and how to best manage these ailments, which should help guide when referral to a specialist TMJ surgeon is appropriate.
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Introduction

The incidence of temporomandibular joint (TMJ) involvement in inflammatory arthritis is often underestimated, and experience in treatment among rheumatologists is limited. Three conditions have an affinity toward the TMJ: Rheumatoid arthritis (RA), psoriatic arthritis (PA), and ankylosing spondylitis (AS). The prevalence is the highest in RA, followed by PA (1-5).

The disease processes differ from those seen in osteoarthritis or following trauma, which are characterized by degeneration or ankylosis, respectively, as joint destruction occurs. Furthermore, inflammatory arthropathies are systemic diseases that can lead to a relapse in symptoms, despite initially successful treatment, as TMJ inflammation continues. Immunosuppressive therapies used to ameliorate systemic inflammation may also complicate treatment responses, particularly if surgical management is required, as there is a theoretical increased risk of infection. In contrast, osteoarthritis symptoms may stabilize, previous joint trauma tends not to deteriorate unless TMJ ankylosis ensues, and immunosuppression is not required. Therefore, a different management approach is required in patients with inflammatory arthropathy that addresses both the systemic illness and localized TMJ disease.

Pain, reduced mouth opening, joint noises, locking, and difficulty eating are the predominant symptoms and can be debilitating if left untreated. Pain originates from the TMJ itself, the associated masticatory muscles, or from both these areas, and it is manifested by tenderness on palpation of the respective anatomical area. Mouth opening is measured as the gap between the tips of the upper and lower incisors at maximal opening (interincisal distance) with less than 35 mm considered abnormal (6). Joint noises and locking result from the internal derangement of the joint mechanism, which consists of the mandibular condyle, intra-articular disc, and glenoid fossa, or from inflammatory debris deposited as part of the disease process. Combined with pain and restricted opening, these contribute toward problems in eating.
Management should be based on symptomatology, and medical therapy alone is often sufficient. Also, despite a diagnosis of inflammatory arthropathy, many patients have simple mechanical problems of the TMJ that usually can be treated by non-surgical regimes. The purpose of this review is to outline further treatments available when disease progression occurs in spite of pharmacological intervention, with a focus on minimally invasive and surgical management of the joint. The aim is to offer a practical guide for physicians rather than provide an exhaustive review of the medical management of the TMJ and the surgical procedures on offer.

Rheumatoid arthritis of the temporomandibular joint

As a synovial joint, patients with RA are at risk of TMJ involvement, and 67-71% patients will have at least one symptom; however, the true prevalence depends on the population studied, diagnostic criteria, and means of TMJ assessment (1, 2, 7). The likelihood of TMJ involvement correlates with the severity and duration of the systemic disease as tenderness in multiple peripheral joints is often accompanied by a painful TMJ (2, 8, 9). Typical clinical findings include joint pain, stiffness, sounds, and limitation of movement (2, 8). TMJ pain and masticatory muscle tenderness suggest an active disease (1).

Rheumatoid arthritis patients tend to have more frequent and severe symptoms, signs, and radiographic changes when compared with PA and AS (1, 7, 10). Crepitus, in particular, is considered to be an important sign of joint destruction (1, 7). Typical radiographic changes include cortical erosions, subcortical cysts, flattening of the condylar head and articular eminence, subcortical sclerosis, and narrowing of the joint space, but none of these are pathognomonic of RA (8, 10, 11).

Difficulty in mouth opening is common in RA due to pain, fibrous adhesions, anterior disc displacement, muscle contracture, inflammation, or more severe degeneration of the TMJ. However, when measured objectively, there is no statistically significant difference between the mouth opening of patients with RA and the general population, so this finding in itself does not yield a definitive diagnosis (1).

Ankylosis is a late and rare finding; in some cases, it affects both sides (12, 13). In severe cases, there is a loss of mandibular condylar support with resultant retrognathia, loss of posterior mandibular height, and anterior open bite (failure of the incisors to meet), which, at its worst, can cause intermittent airway obstruction, particularly when supine (1, 14). In one study, 66% patients with RA of the TMJ had severe arthritis of the cervical spine, and 50% with RA affecting the cervical spine had severe arthritis of the TMJ (15).

Psoriatic arthropathy of the temporomandibular joint

Traditionally, TMJ involvement in PA has been thought to be rare with fewer than 40 cases reported in the last 50 years. However, some studies have suggested that dysfunction occurs in 90% patients with PA (4, 5). More recently, Dervis and Dervis (3) found the TMJ to be symptomatic in 29% and 35% of patients with psoriasis and PA, respectively. This incidence is no higher than that found in the general population without psoriasis (TMJ dysfunction occurs in approximately one-third); therefore, PA does not necessarily increase the risk of TMJ dysfunction. However, when it occurs, the symptoms tend to be worse. PA can also affect the TMJ in the form of monoarthritis, rather than polyarthritis in 0.01-0.02% of the population (16).

The duration and severity of PA and the number of somatic joints affected are the main risk factors for TMJ symptoms and signs, but the degree of skin involvement is not (3, 4). Typical findings include clicking, crepitus, or other joint sounds; TMJ pain on mouth opening and chewing; morning stiffness; and muscle tenderness (3, 4, 17). As the disease progresses, pain is replaced by the limitation of movement due to the development of fibrosis. Like RA, crepitus is found in the latter stages and is associated with structural joint changes, which may progress to joint collapse or ankylosis.

Lundberg and Ericsson (18) first described the radiographic appearance of a TMJ affected by PA, and the most common manifestation is condylar head erosion secondary to osteolysis of the subchondral bone. Osteoporotic lesions are less frequently seen radiographically, while osteophyte formation, joint space narrowing, condylar head flattening, and subchondral sclerosis have also been reported (16, 17, 19). Although there is a strong correlation between TMJ symptom development and condylar erosions in PA, this and the other radiographic findings are not specific; therefore, they cannot be differentiated from other arthritic conditions or degeneration (16, 17). Furthermore, radiographic features often lag behind symptoms; therefore, a normal radiograph does not exclude PA and sometimes, the opposite is true as advanced radiographic changes can be asymptomatic (17).

Ankylosing spondylitis of the temporomandibular joint

Temporomandibular joint involvement occurs in 22% patients with AS, but its symptoms are not specific and most patients have no pain, so this is likely to be an underestimate (20). The most frequent clinical features are TMJ sounds, tenderness of the masticatory musculature (particularly, the lateral pterygoid muscles), hypertrophy of the masseters, and limitation of movement manifested as restricted mouth opening. These symptoms and signs are seen less often and are not as severe as those encountered in RA and PA (7). There have been 12 case reports of TMJ ankylosis in patients with AS, 5 of which were bilateral (21).

Management

Medical therapy

The first aim of management is to relieve pain, initially using conservative measures, which will resolve symptoms in over 80% patients (22). These include reassurance; jaw rest with a soft diet; avoidance of wide mouth opening; physiotherapy; non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which can be prescribed topically; and soft occlusal splints made by the dentist (23). Effective management of the disease activity with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) and biologics appropriate to the underlying disease is vital.

Although there is no evidence of long-term benefits using physiotherapy, it is not harmful and can be used in the short-term management of the restriction of opening after an exacerbation of the condition, arthroscopy, or open surgery. Topical NSAIDs applied to the joint and masticatory musculature may produce the same benefits as oral preparations, but with fewer side effects in superficial joints, and they should be used 4 times a day for 4 weeks (22-24). Although data from these trials relate to NSAID use in osteoarthritis in clinical practice, they also have been used as a basis to treat inflammatory arthropathies affecting the TMJ. Low-dose tricyclic antidepressants are beneficial for myofascial pain related to the TMJ, but evidence of their use has been extrapolated from studies that employed these drugs for chronic pain elsewhere in the body (25).

Disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (including biologics) can attenuate joint damage by suppressing inflammation in all of the inflammatory arthropathies discussed, and the aim of the rheumatologist should be to achieve remission if possible (1, 26-30). The benefit of these therapies is that the TMJ is treated simultaneously with other joints as the systemic pathophysi-
Local anesthetic and steroid injections

Pain emanating from the TMJ can be confirmed, and temporarily relieved, by infiltration of local anesthetic (lignocaine 1% or 2%) into the joint space. Resolution of the pain after 10 min establishes an intra-articular etiology rather than a muscular source. The site of injection is 10 mm along and 2 mm inferior to a straight line from the tragus to the lateral canthus of the eye (Figure 1).

Intra-articular steroid injections can modulate TMJ synovitis. The senior author does not recommend this routinely unless there is proven joint inflammation on the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scan or during arthroscopy, as indiscriminate use may cause irreversible joint loss from thinning of the cartilage, which seems to be particularly susceptible to the effects of steroids in this region.

Myofascial pain and spasm can be temporarily relieved with the injection of a long-acting local anesthetic, such as bupivacaine 0.5% or botulinum toxin, into the masseter and temporalis muscles. The latter causes localized muscle paralysis for up to 6 months and reduces pain measured using a visual analog scale by at least 25% in 79% patients (32).

Arthroscopy and arthrocentesis

Where infiltration of the joint with local anesthetic relieves pain, TMJ arthroscopy with arthrocentesis, which was first described by Ohnishi in 1975, is indicated as a diagnostic and therapeutic aid in patients recalcitrant to medical treatment (33). Arthroscopy will elicit any particular pathology and saline lavage of the joint under pressure, flushes out debris, and breaks down adhesions that prevent normal movement (34). Blunt release of adhesions, which can anchor the intra-articular disc, termed the "anchored disc phenomenon,” is also possible using arthroscopy.

The procedure is minimally invasive, well-tolerated, low cost, and can be carried out in a day-case environment (even under local anesthetic). There is marginal risk of causing long-term joint damage leading to degenerative change, but only the upper joint space can be entirely accessed. However, restricted mouth opening is usually secondary to upper joint space problems, particularly the anchored disc phenomenon and synovitis, where arthrocentesis is the most beneficial (22, 35). Three-dimensional computerized tomography (CT) supplements the assessment of the entire joint surface, and MRI will reveal an effusion or synovial inflammation, but neither can accurately demonstrate the disc pathology; this is only possible with arthroscopy.

Outcomes following the procedure seem less favorable in patients with an inflammatory arthritic disease affecting the TMJ, but there have been no definitive studies with sufficient numbers. Simple repeated arthrocentesis in a functional joint is often sufficient to keep the patient comfortable, with judicious use of intra-articular steroid as indicated by arthroscopically diagnosed synovitis.

Open joint surgery

Prior to the widespread use of arthroscopy and arthrocentesis, the management of TMJ problems unresponsive to simple treatments was done using open surgery. Synovectomy is a high-risk procedure due to the close proximity of the medial joint capsule to the trigeminal nerve branches, terminal carotid vessels, and internal jugular vein, which complicates complete synovial removal. In a series of patients from over 20 years ago, it was found that it successfully treated pain and restricted opening in patients with RA, PA, and AS affecting the TMJ, but was normally combined with disectomy that probably accounted for the majority of symptomatic relief (39-41).

The use of eminoplasty, eminectomy, disc pllication, disectomy, and adhesiolysis via a preauricular incision made to approach the joint can directly address intra-articular pathology. Whilst successful in their own right, they are more likely to lead to iatrogenic degenerative changes, and hence, should be a second-line choice. These techniques have been reported to improve pain and mouth opening in a small series, but should not be tried before arthroscopy and arthrocentesis, particularly in previously unoperated joints (42-44).
More severe cases with very high initial pain scores and very restricted opening or joint collapse have a poorer prognosis from open joint surgery as they are likely to require total joint replacement (45). Ultimately, total joint replacement may be required, but repeated open operations prior to this end should be avoided as the risks of surgery are increased and arthroplasty outcomes are compromised. As such the number of surgical interventions should be minimized and preferably provided by an oral and maxillofacial surgeon specializing in the TMJ.

**Total temporomandibular joint replacement surgery**

When symptoms return despite conservative and minimally invasive treatments, the final common pathway for all destructive, degenerative, and ankylosic TMJ disease is total joint replacement. It was developed during the 19th century, with current prostheses modified from those produced in the 1960s by Christensen. The initial Christensen joint replacement was a metal-on-acrylic prosthesis that was changed to a metal-on-metal one due to wear observed after 10-15 years. The subsequent metal-on-metal cobalt-chrome alloy has been withdrawn from the market because of the risk of early wear that has complicated metal replacements in other joints. In addition, approximately 10% patients developed a foreign-body reaction possibly due to material allergy (46).

Currently, there are two systems with 20-year follow-up that are in widespread use (47, 48). The first is the TMJ Concepts (TMJ Concepts; Ventura, CA, USA) patient-fitted total TMJ replacement, which is custom-made for each patient using a computer-aided design and manufacture (CAD-CAM) model of the joint constructed from a three-dimensional CT scan. The diseased joint surfaces are resected and the replacement fixed to the mandibular ramus and the skull base with screws (Figure 2, 3). The prosthesis is made from a high-molecular-weight polyethylene and cobalt-chrome alloy, which is comparable to that used for total knee replacements, and costs are similar when hospital stay (2 days, postoperatively) is also considered. Hardened titanium may be used instead for the condylar component head in patients with an allergy to the cobalt-chromium alloy.

The second system is the Biomet (Biomet; Jacksonville, FL, USA) microfixation TMJ replacement, which is a stock prosthesis that the surgeon has to “fit” to the patient’s anatomy at implantation. Patient-fitted variants can also be constructed similar to the TMJ Concepts system.

Three outcome measures are routinely recorded to determine if TMJ replacement has been successful: pain and dietary function, which are gauged using a visual analog scale, and mouth opening measured in millimeters between the upper and lower incisors. Increasingly, quality of life measures are also being recorded. In a recent series of 84 patients reviewed for 3-5 years after replacement, there were significant improvements in pain and dietary function (by 90%) and mouth opening improved by 42-69% (49). Revision surgery was carried out in 2 patients due to prosthesis infection. Longer-term follow-up shows that these outcomes are maintained in 90% of patients aged up to 17 years (50).
Most patients undergoing replacement have degenerative or post-traumatic disease, but comparably significant improvements in pain, dietary function, and mouth opening have been demonstrated in those with inflammatory arthritis (51, 52). However, outcomes will be less satisfactory in these patients if multiple operations on the joint have been performed due to repeated trauma. Therefore, it is important they are referred early in the disease’s progression to an appropriately trained surgeon to determine if a lesser procedure is appropriate or whether this will compromise the outcome of joint replacement.

Guidelines for replacement are somewhat stricter than for other joints, but have been approved by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) (6, 53). Given that 100 joints are replaced each year in the UK, only surgeons with a subspecialist interest in the TMJ should undertake the procedure. Our management algorithm emphasizes when a referral is appropriate and highlights the optimal use of non-surgical and minimally invasive treatments (Figure 4).

Conclusion
Inflammatory arthritis of the TMJ is uncommon, but it is debilitating when severe functional restriction occurs. Early advice and management from an oral and maxillofacial surgeon, particularly if symptoms have been confirmed to emanate from the joint, can help slow the progression to joint collapse or ankylosis, which causes malocclusion of the teeth. Even a late-stage disease can be suitably managed with open joint surgeries or total joint replacement to maintain a good quality of life.
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